

# American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians®

"The Voice of Interventional Pain Management"

81 Lakeview Drive, Paducah, KY 42001

Phone: (270) 554-9412 - Fax: (270) 554-5394

[www.asipp.org](http://www.asipp.org)

---

May 29, 2026

Mehmet Oz, MD

CMS Administrator

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Department of  
Health and Human Services, Attention: CMS-1832-P, P.O. Box 8016,  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8016

[mehmetoz@me.com](mailto:mehmetoz@me.com)

Re: Request to change Medicare policies to help independent practices

Dear Honorable CMS Administrator Dr. Oz:

Thank you for your interest in various issues related to CMS as the CMS administrator. The American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP), representing 49 state societies and the Puerto Rico Society of Interventional Pain Physicians, comprises a large proportion of independent physicians.

We are facing multitude of issues, which continue to grow more complex and present cuts and proposed cuts are becoming increasingly significant with difficulties mounting for the survival of independent practices.

To resolve the multitude of issues and protect independent practices into the future, we request that to make appropriate changes in the upcoming proposed rule. As follows:

- 1. Modification of Practice Expenses of 6 to 11% Cuts for Independent Physicians by Adding a Modifier to Identify Independent Physicians**
- 2. Ambulatory Specialty Model (ASM) – Which is an Unproven Concept Leading to Major Access Issues and Cuts to be Removed as it also Conflicts with ACCESS (Advancing Chronic Care with Effective, Scalable Solutions) Model and WISer (Wasteful and Inappropriate Service Reduction) Model, and Elimination of Inconsistent ASM Specialty Model Program.**
- 3. Reversal of Efficiency Cuts of 2.5% as There is No Efficiency as Studies Show that AI May Help if at All, 20 Seconds Per Note**

Following is a brief explanation:

- 1. Modification of Practice Expenses of 6 to 11% Cuts for Independent Physicians by Adding a Modifier to Identify Independent Physicians**

With respect to practice expense, CMS is implementing a 50% practice management cut based on the assumption that 80% of physicians are hospital-employed, and therefore only 20% would be affected. This assumption is inaccurate. Nearly 45% of physicians are independent, meaning these

reductions will impact approximately 45% of physicians whenever services are performed in hospitals or ASCs.

National data show that:

1. 23 million surgeries take place in ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs)
  - 90% of these surgeries are performed by independent physicians
  - There are approximately 5,530 ASCs nationwide, accounting for more than 23 million procedures annually.
2. 31.5 million surgeries occur in hospital outpatient departments (40% of these are performed by independent physicians, 10 million on Medicare patients)
3. 51.4 million inpatient surgeries are performed each year (CDC)

Once Medicare sets these rates, other payers typically follow.

As a result, independent physicians will face an estimated 6% to 11% reduction in reimbursement for services performed in hospitals and ASCs. This will further destabilize independent practices, which are already under severe financial pressure due to declining reimbursement and rising costs for staffing, supplies, and operations.

We urgently hope action will be taken to address these issues at least for next year in the fee schedule.

**2. Ambulatory Specialty Model (ASM) – Which is an Unproven Concept Leading to Major Access Issues and Cuts to be Removed as it also Conflicts with ACCESS (Advancing Chronic Care with Effective, Scalable Solutions) Model and WISeR (Wasteful and Inappropriate Service Reduction) Model, and Elimination of Inconsistent ASM Specialty Model Program.**

The Ambulatory Specialty Model (ASM) program presents numerous conflicts and may be the most harmful of all, resulting in projected cuts of approximately 9%. It overlaps with and contradicts other programs, including:

- ACCESS (Advancing Chronic Care with Effective, Scalable Solutions) Model
- WISeR (Wasteful and Inappropriate Service Reduction) Model

These programs are inconsistent with the ASM framework, which itself lacks evidence-based validation and has not been tested in real-world practice. We do understand that HR6361 will basically remove WISeR Model, we would like to see the same for ASM. It should be stopped before implementation. WISeR is already abusing and access to patient care.

**3. Reversal of Efficiency Cuts of 2.5% as There is No Efficiency as Studies Show that AI May Help if at All, 20 Seconds Per Note**

The administration has taken the position that physician efficiency has increased due to AI and has therefore implemented a permanent 2.5% cut to physician work value. Based on how the policy is presented, it appears that an additional 2.5% reduction may follow. It is crucial that 25% cuts of 2026 be reversed and no more cuts can be implemented.

However, a recently published randomized controlled trial demonstrated that AI scribes saved UCLA physicians only 20 seconds per note — a result that is far from transformative ([Lukac et al., “Ambient AI scribes in clinical practice: A randomized trial,” \*NEJM AI\* 2025; 2:12](#)). This was a well-designed randomized controlled trial using an intention-to-treat analysis, meaning outcomes were averaged across all randomized clinicians, including those who rarely or never used the AI scribe and therefore could not benefit from it. Moreover, the vast majority of physicians (including 90% of independent physicians and most hospital-based physicians) are not using AI at all, yet CMS has applied this cut broadly across the entire physician workforce.

A brief explanation has been provided above for all of the issues. Detailed explanations have been provided in the enclosed comment letters and articles.

1. [Comment letter to CMS, Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., and Mehmet Oz, MD, from Laxmaiah Manchikanti, MD, Mahendra Sanapati, MD, and the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians \(ASIPP\) Re: CMS-1832-P Medicare and Medicaid Programs; CY 2026 Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule and Other Changes to Part B Payment and Coverage Policies; Medicare Shared Savings Program Requirements; and Medicare Prescription Drug Inflation Rebate Program. September 11, 2025.](#)
2. [Manchikanti L, et al. Physician Payment Reform in Interventional Pain Management: Balancing Cost, Quality, Access, and Survival of Independent Practices. \*Pain Physician\* 2025; 28:377-396](#)
3. [Manchikanti L, et al. Escalating growth of spending on Medicare Advantage plans: Save Medicare from insolvency and balance the budget. \*Pain Physician\* 2025; 28:359-376](#)
4. [Manchikanti L, et al. Non-partisan proposal for reforming physician payment system and preserving telehealth services. \*Pain Physician\* 2025; 28:E329-E335](#)

Essentially unless something is done, independent practices are going to be ended and Obama’s dream of universal healthcare will ensue soon. Consequently, we request your attention to this matter and incorporate these in 2027 proposed rules, which will be coming out soon. Congress is also looking into various issues and they always come to the same answer that CMS is responsible for this.

Thank you very much for your assistance. Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

**Laxmaiah Manchikanti, MD**

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, ASIPP and SIPMS  
Director, Pain Management Centers of America  
Ambulatory Surgery Center and Pain Care Surgery Center  
Clinical Professor  
Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine  
University of Louisville, KY  
Professor of Anesthesiology-Research  
Department of Anesthesiology, School of Medicine  
LSU Health Sciences Center  
Shreveport, LA  
2831 Lone Oak Road  
Paducah, KY 42003  
[drcm@asipp.org](mailto:drcm@asipp.org)

**Mahendra Sanapati, MD**

**Vice Chairman of the Board and COO, ASIPP**

Vice-President, SIPMS

AMA CPT Advisory Committee

Director, Pain Management Centers of America

Evansville, IN

Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology, University of Louisville

Louisville, KY

[msanapati@gmail.com](mailto:msanapati@gmail.com); [drs@apccweb.com](mailto:drs@apccweb.com)

LM/rem

cc: Jeff Mortier [jmortier@farragutpartners.com](mailto:jmortier@farragutpartners.com);